Application No.: 10/564,095 Docket No.: 2936-0260PUS1

Reply dated February 20, 2007

to Office Action of November 17, 2006

Page 6 of 9

REMARKS

Claims 1-9 are pending in the application. New claims 8 and 9 have been added.

Substitute Specification

Minor changes have been made to the specification to place it in better form for U.S.

practice.

The above-noted specification changes are set forth in the attached Substitute

Specification. The Substitute Specification does not contain new matter.

A Comparison Specification showing the matter being added to and deleted from the

original specification is also submitted herewith.

The Examiner is respectfully requested to approve the Substitute Specification.

Double Patenting

Claims 1 and 3-7 have been provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory

obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over clam 1 of copending Application

No. 10/568,457 (hereinafter, "copending Application"). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Applicants respectfully traverse this provisional rejection for the following reasons.

Claim 1 of copending Application requires the following limitations, which are not

required in claim 1 of the present application:

a heater that heats the steam generated by the steam generating unit so as to turn the

steam into superheated steam;

an exhaust port provided in the external circulation path, the exhaust port through

which the gas sucked in through the suction port is exhausted to an outside;

a damper that opens and closes the exhaust port; and

Application No.: 10/564,095 Docket No.: 2936-0260PUS1

Reply dated February 20, 2007

to Office Action of November 17, 2006

Page 7 of 9

a control unit that controls the damper so as to open/close the exhaust port in

accordance with a movement of the door.

In view of this, Applicants respectfully submit that claim 1 of the present application is

patentably distinct from claim 1 of the copending Application at least because claim 1 of the

present application is neither anticipated by, nor would have been obvious over claim 1 of the

copending Application.

Claims 3-7, variously dependent on claim 1, are allowable at least for their dependency

on claim 1.

The Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider and withdraw this rejection.

Claim Rejection - 35 U.S.C. § 102

Claims 1 and 4 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Cohn

et al. (USP 5,802,963). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Cohn merely discloses an assembly in which steam is intermingled with a steam of hot

air flowing through an air duct 19.

In contrast, according to the present invention, an ejector formed coaxially with an

external circulation path makes it possible to efficiently such steam into the external circulation

path.

Cohn fails to disclose or suggest such a feature.

Claim 4, dependent on claim 1, is allowable at least for its dependency on claim 1.

The Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider and withdraw this rejection.

Application No.: 10/564,095 Docket No.: 2936-0260PUS1

Reply dated February 20, 2007

to Office Action of November 17, 2006

Page 8 of 9

Claim Rejection - 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 3 and 5-7 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Cohn. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Claims 3 and 5-7, variously dependent on claim 1, are allowable at least for their

dependency on claim 1.

The Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider and withdraw this rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

Applicants appreciate the Examiner's indication that claim 2 would be allowable if

rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any

intervening claims.

Claim 2 has been amended to include all of the limitations of claim 1 to place it in

condition for allowance.

A favorable determination by the Examiner and allowance of this claim is earnestly

solicited.

New Claims

New claims 8 and 9, variously dependent on claim 1, are allowable at least for their

dependency on claim 1.

A favorable determination by the Examiner and allowance of these claims is earnestly

solicited.

Birch, Stewart, Kolasch & Birch, LLP

MRC/MH/pjh

Docket No.: 2936-0260PUS1 Application No.: 10/564,095

Reply dated February 20, 2007

to Office Action of November 17, 2006

Page 9 of 9

Conclusion

Accordingly, in view of the above amendments and remarks, reconsideration of the

rejections and objections, and allowance of the pending claims are earnestly solicited.

Should there be any outstanding matters that need to be resolved in the present

application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact Maki Hatsumi (#40,417) at the

telephone number of the undersigned below, to conduct an interview in an effort to expedite

prosecution in connection with the present application.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future

replies, to charge payment or to credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any

additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 or under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17; particularly, extension

of time fees.

Dated: February 20, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

Michael R. Cammarata

Registration No.: 39,491

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

8110 Gatehouse Road

Suite 100 East

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

Attorney for Applicant

Attachments: Substitute Specification - 15 pages

Comparison Specification - 22 pages